Stenographic Transcript of HEARINGS Before the

SELECT COMMITTEE ON POW/MIA AFFAIRS

UNITED STATES SENATE

DEPOSITION OF RICHARD V. SECORD (MAJ. GEN. (Ret.) USAF)

Wednesday, June 10, 1992 Exhibits 1-4 attached Pages 1-148



ALL AND GLEEF AT THE NATIONAL ARCHIV

Washington, D.C.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY 1111 14TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-5650 (202) 289-2260

E44, 23-4

14

÷

l experience	and	what	it	entailed?
--------------	-----	------	----	-----------

A. I was experienced in the review of intelligence reports beginning in 1962, in my first tour in Vietnam, with what came to be known as U.S. Air Force Special Operations Forces; and during assignments elsewhere with U.S. Special Operations forces in the 1960's;

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

21

6

2

3

- Q. What types of intelligence reports were you reviewing? I mean, on what subjects?
- A. Virtually all that I could think of were related to the war in Southeast Asia or the various conflicts we were dealing with elsewhere in the world, insurgencies.
- Q. Before the time that you joined the Pentagon in 1972, did you have any experience or training in the subject of casualty accounting or accounting for lost personnel?
 - A. No.
- Q. None of the intelligence reports that you had ever reviewed, either as a detailee at the CIA or in any other position, referred to prisoners of war or men who were missing in action?
 - A. Oh, many, constantly.
- You're earlier question was did I have any training in the subject of insurgency.
- Q. Oh, I'm sorry. I thought I'd asked you whether you had any training or experience.

1	a bunch of experts. God save me from experts when they send
2	them from Headquarters in time of war.
3	We spent quite a bit of time, some weeks,
4	analyzing this, that, and the other area. They even built a
5	big model, plastic model, back at Headquarters, of the so-
6	called, the POW site.
7	Then it was decided that we ought to try and have
8	a hi-op first, merus
9	warned
10	There was great fear that there would be you know, I may
11	sound critical, but these are always delicate, difficult,
12	judgment calls, tactical calls that you had to make. You
13	might decide to do it one way and I might decide to do it a
14	different way. It was decided by higher authority to
15	attempt McThen Udorn opposed that.
16	But there was great fear that one or more of the prisoners
17	would be killed in our raid. That's always a concern.
18	Something went awry and the camp went dead on us.
19	Photography showed very quickly
20	that it was no longer active. We sent
21	some people there and it was gone.
22	These three were never repatriated.
23	Q. They did not come back at Homecoming?
24	A. They did not. I remember checking that one out.
25	Q. Were they under Pathet Lao control, do you

1	that troubles me as an analyst, and that is the issue of
2	correlation. At some point in this problem, as I've
3	described it to you, this becomes a long-term problem, and a
4	white man in a jungle is an anomaly who has no face and no
5	name for any practical purposes, or he may have a Lio name,
6	or a Vietnamese name.
7	[Discussion off the record.]
8	BY MR. McCREARY (Resuming):
9	Q. Have you followed what I was driving at so far?
10	A. Sure.
11	Q. Were any operations ever conducted to try to
12	let me rephrase that.
13	Was it always necessary to have identified the
14	loss before an operation, to have identified the person in a
15	karst or in a cave to begin planning an operation for a
16	rescue?
17	A. We did not have any dedicated rescue team: . I'm
18	referring only to CIA now.
19	Q. I understand that. Let me rephras.
20	If you had had what you consider reliable
21	reporting of Americans kept in Mahaxay, in Laos
22	A. We did, as a matter of fact.
23	Q but you didn't know their names, but y u were
24	90 percent sure they were American pilots, would yo
25	consider a raid to rescue them, based on that infor ation?
	·

- A. Not only would we, we did launch a raid.
- Q. Would you amplify, please, and give me the year?
- A. I think it was late 1967. It could have been early 1968. They all run together. It's a long time ago.
- Q. I understand, and I'm not holding you to any precision. But the details interest me.
- A. We thought we knew for a long time -- and you're an intelligence person, so you know, you think you know certain things. Sometimes you find out what you thought you knew was not quite as factual as you thought it was. Like they say, you never know what you don't know. But we thought we knew with fair degree of, high degree of probability, that there was a significant POW camp or holding point near the Ho Chi Minh Trail in the vicinity of Mahaxay, as I recall, but to be more particular, it was north of Route 912 and east of 96, near, not to far from, you know, between Mugia Pass and Bankarai Pass. It was logical there would be such a facility, since a lot of pilots were shot down over the Ho Chi Minh Train, more than over North Vietnam, I believe, or at least as many.

We had all kinds of reports, but we could never identify it in photography. We couldn't pin it down with other means. But we had a big, you know, we didn't need a map. Everybody had it in their minds. This was a cautionary area that we needed to not forget.