1	Central Intelligence Agency (b)(1)  (b)(1)  (b)(3)
	and the second s
	scanned
	Washington, D. C. 2050S
	DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE
	26 June 1984
	. Vietnam: Using the MIA Issue as a Bargaining Chip
	Summary
	In dealing with Washington on the issue of US servicemen missing in action in Vietnam, Hanoi has had one overriding goal to gain concessions from the United States. During the first f
	years after the war, Hanoi concentrated on conditions included the Paris accords of 1973: provision of US economic aid for post-war reconstruction, and US respect for Vietnamese
	sovereignty and territorial integrity. Although the Vietnamese
	approach has fluctuated from abrasive to conciliatory in respon to US moves, the demands have remained largely the same. With
:	the invasion of Kampuchea in 1978, however, Hanoi expanded its
	demand for recognition of Vietnamese sovereignty to include recognition of the Vietnamese-supported Heng Samrin regime in
	Phnom Penh.
	As long as Hanoi and Washington remain at odds over the Kampuchea issue, we expect no major shift in Vietnamese policy toward MIAs. Concessions by the United States on lesser issues aid to Laos or adjustments to the Orderly Departure Program, fo examplewould, however, probably encourage Hanoi to release so additional information on remains.
	The Early Policy: Trading Remains for Aid and Recognition
	When Saigon fell to the Communists on 30 April 1975, approximately 2,500 US personnel throughout Indochinaincludin 42 civilianswere listed by the Department of Defense as unacknowledged prisoners of war, missing in action (MIA), or
•	
•	-

, c00478689	SECRET Page 2 of 8
<u>.</u>	- JEGNET
, î 1 4 4	•
	killed in action with bodies not recovered. And although the Paris Agreements called for exchange of information concerning missing personnel, Hanoi has stalled on providing names of missing Americans or repatriating American remains. By mid-1984, the Vietnamese had returned less than 100 remains, and only four remains had been repatriated by Laos.
	Following their victory, Vietnamese leaders appeared confident in their ability to use the MIA issue to ensure that concessions contained in the 1973 Paris accords were met: first and foremost, US economic aid, and second, US support for (or at least noninterference with) Hanoi's attempts to establish itself as the legitimate government of Vietnam. When Vietnam first applied for admission to the United Nations in 1975, for example,
	government nad agreed to release the remains of three US  pilots. After the United States cast its veto,  permission had been withdrawn. The second veto in September 1976 brought an official letter from the Vietnamese UN observers, blaming American "hostility" for the stalemate between the two countries.
	Hanoi's leaders initially adopted a manipulative and abrasive tone. They accused the United States of failure to comply with the Paris Agreements while claiming Vietnam had "scrupulously" done so. Vietnamese spokesmen responded to US countercharges by claiming that Washington's lack of goodwill obstructed orogress on the MIA issue.
	Hanoi Tries a New Tack
	These tactics were clearly unsuccessful, and in the fall of 1976 the US announcement that it would again veto Vietnam's UN bid because of the MIA issue prompted Hanoi to try a softer approach. After the Security Council postponed consideration of Vietnam's application until November, Vietnam agreed to hold talks with the United States in Paris.
	Reginates to December 1976
	Beginning in December 1976, several developments may have encouraged Vietnam to believe this softer stand would pay off with the new administration:
	The House Select Committee on Americans Missing in Southeast Asia concluded in December 1976 that no live US prisoners were being held in Indochina.
	UN Ambassador-Designate Andrew Young stated in January that talks might soon be reopened with Vietnam, and that
	FA M 8410129

SECRET

NND 982011 --- 729

C00478689	SECRET Age 5 01 6
	he hoped that the United States would normalize ties with the Vietnamese shortly.
	President Carter quickly carried through on his campaign promise to send a presidential commission to discuss MIAs with the Vietnamese and Lao.
1	But Demands Remain the Same
	But the Vietnamese treatment of the Woodcock Commission in March 1977 indicated that Hanoi's basic stand remained firm. Although Vice Foreign Minister Phan Hien noted that anv information Hanoi might obtain on missing personnel would be given to the United States "as soon as possible," he continued to stress the Paris accords and US aid "obligations." The Lao authorities followed Hanoi's lead, linking MIA information with aid.
	After the United States announced in May 1977 that it would not provide reconstruction aid but neither would it veto Vietnam's application to the United Nationsif MIA
	investigations were expedited Hanoi reinforced its aid demands.
	subsequently announced the names of 20 more MIAs whose remains were to be released, but waited until Vietnam was admitted to the United Nations in September 1977 before allowing repatriation of the remains.
	Kampuchea Brings Stallingand Stiffening Attitude
	With the deterioration in Vietnam's relations with China and with the Chinese-supported regime in Kampuchea, Hanoi in mid-1978 again appeared willing to back off from its demand for US aid.  and it began to lobby Washington for normal relations.
	The former chairman of the House Select Committee on MIAs was invited to Ha <u>noi and Vientiane, where the Lao promised to return</u> four remains;
	104. 1 (114.113.)
,	By late fall, however, preoccupation with China and with the Khmer Rouge regime in Phnom Penhplus lack of concrete progress in improving relations with the United Statescaused Hanoi to turn decisively toward the Soviet Union. With the signing of the Soviet-Vietnamese Treaty of Friendship and Cooperation in
1	EA M 84-10129

20.470.000	200 1.0 - CV-000 14-1111K Document 105-35 Filed 04/19/11 Page 4 of 8	
00478689	SECRET	
•		
-	November 1978, Vietnam committed itselfand its weaker neighbor	
	Laosto a course directly opposed to that of the Carter administration, which was about to normalize relations with	
	China.	
	The US reaction to the Vietnamese invasion of Kampuchea	
	brought a turning point for Hanoi's policies on missing	
	personnel. Although the Vietnamese virtually discarded their	
	demands for aid, they adopted new, hard-line tactics aimed at undermining US resolve to oppose the occupation of Kampuchea.	
	They used MIA meetings to denounce US policy and they bypassed	
	official channels in favor of "sympathetic" Americans. During	
	the second of two technical meetings in 1981, the Vietnamese released the names of three missing personnel and promised that	
·	their remains would be delivered later. But Vu Hoanghead of	
	the Office for Seeking Missing Personnelused this apportunity	
•	to castigate the United States for backing Chinese aggression, unfairly holding Vietnam totally responsible for resolving the	•
	MIA issue, and violating the Paris accords.	
	On the eve of a US mission visit to Hanoi in 1982, Foreign	
	Minister Nguyen Co Thach publicly announced that further use of	
	the MIA issue by the United States for political purposes would	
	end Vietnamese cooperation. The Vietnamese then refused to set a schedule for technical meetings and reacted to a subsequent US	
-	veto on aid for Vietnam by providing new information on four MIA	. ~
· .	cases to the Vietnam Veterans of America.	
	The Indochina Foreign Ministers' communique in late January	
•	1984 attempted to increase pressure on the United States. The	
	three countries agreed to cooperate with each other on the MIA question, but they stated that cooperation with the United States	
	would be conditional upon the renunciation of its "hostile"	
	policy. This unprecedented statement appeared to be a bald attempt by Vietnam to use MIAs to persuade the United States to	
	grant de facto recognition of the Phnom Penh regime backed by	
	Hanoi. Shortly after the communique's release. Phnom Penh indeed	
	offered information concerning the whereabouts of US personnel missing in Kampuchea between 1975 and 1979 to US officials, but	
	dropped the offer when the US refused to deal directly with the	
	Kampucheans. The Vietnamese thus far have refused to act as a conduit for any information from Kampuchea.	
	Testing the Waters With Laos?	
	The Lao similarly have adopted a "carrot and stick"	
	policy. After the United States made a donation to a Lao	
	hospital in late 1981, the Lao agreed to invite the National League of Families to visit the following year.	
	Lao rhetoric during the visit echoed that of Vietnam	
	Some years previouslyemphasizing US "responsibility" for war	
,	damage, and Vientiane's desire for aid and improved relations.	
·		

C00 470 60 Wast	1.04-CV-00014-HHK DO	ument 165-35 Filed 04/19/11	Page 5 of 8
CUU4/0003	CEARET	_	

For this reason--and because Lans has faithfully followed Vietnam's foreign policy initiatives--we believe Hanni encouraged Laos to begin MIA negotiations with the United States. Hanni's leaders may have been seeking to open a second forum (under Vietnamese guidance) to increase Hanni's leverage or to test US willingness to provide aid or make concessions to a close ally.

## Hanoi's Policy for the Future

We expect Hanoi and Vientiane to continue to manipulate the MIA issue in an attempt to gain concessions from the United States. Basically, Hanoi seeks changes in US policy that would lead to recognition of the Heng Samrin regime in Kampuchea and provision of aid to Vietnam. We doubt, however, that the Vietnamese leadership expects to achieve such goals, and we think Hanoi may be willing to trade information or remains for lesser concessions--less vocal US support for ASEAN's Kampuchea policy. for example, or a US agreement to support an "empty-seat" formula for Kampuchea at the UN. Hanoi also has been seeking a separate emigration quota for Amerasian children under the Orderly Departure Program in order to speed up the departure of children fathered by US servicemen during the war. Finally, Hanoi might be more forthcoming in response to a long-term aid agreement between the United States and Laos that would indirectly benefit the Vietnamese by easing their aid commitments to Vientiane. Such an agreement, however, might also lead to increased pressure for aid to Vietnam.

	-5.	EA M 84-10129
SECRET		
		NND 982011 727

## <u>Appendix</u>

Chronology of MIA-Related Events

1955 February

Viet Minh sign agreement with French on searching for, disintering, repatriating remains of missing combatants from both sides. Viet Minh to provide information on graves in the South, and if French cannot find the sites, the Viet Minh will locate the sites for them.

1969 May

First official US public statement of dissatisfaction with North Vietnamese treatment of the POW/MIA issue.

1973 January

United States and North Vietnam sign the Agreement on Ending the War and Restoring the Peace in Vietnam (Paris Accords). Hanoi provides list of all POWs in North Vietnam and repatriates them within the stipulated 60 days.

February

Talks begin on US economic aid to North Vietnam.

September

Hanoi delegation to Four Part Joint Military Team announces it will not search for MIAs while South Vietnam continues to hold political prisoners.

1975 April

Hanoi releases names of three MIAs killed in North Vietnam to Senator Edward Kennedy.

June

Pham Van Dong offers to normalize relations with United States, demanding that US honor "obligations" of reconstruction aid.

August

namon will turn over three sets of remains, but offer is withdrawn after US vetoes Vietnam's UN application.

September

House Select Committee on MIAs formed.

December

Vietnamese Ambassador meets with Select Committee in Paris, links aid to MIAs, and offers to turn over three sets of remains.

1976

March-August

United States and Vietnam exchange notes on normalization talks; United States stresses MIA accounting as precondition, Vietnam stresses aid "obligations."

	-6-	·		EA	M	84-10129
SECRET		7	]	-	•	
			MNI	98201	1	ファシ

December

Vietnam invades Kampuchea

1979

August

Congressional delegation finds Hanoi willing to

talk but not to compromise.

1980

October

JCRC officials hold talks in Hanoi.

1981

February

JCRC-VNOSMP meeting in Hanoi.

EA M 84-10129

-<del>SE CRE</del>T

- AD CAM

CQ0478689		-SE CRET
	,	
1	June	JCRC-VNOSMP meeting; three MIA names released.
	December	Vietnam Veterans of America (VVA) visit Hanoi.
ľ	1982 February	Joint US-League of Families delegation to Hanoi.
1	May	Vietnam releases four MIA names to VVA.
	September	JCRC-League of Families group visits Hanoi and Vientiane; Laos allows them to visit crash sites; Thach subsequently announces acceptance of quarterly technical meetings.
·	December	Technical experts meet in Hanoi; inspect crash site.
	1983 February	JCRC delegations visits both Hanoi and Vientiane; Hanoi provides information on 12 names.
:	<b>1984</b> January	Indochina Foreign Ministers' communique takes hard line on MIAs.
•	February	US mission visits Hanoi.
	April	Hanoi postpones JCRC-VNOSMP technical meeting.
	This append	ix is classified
1		

EA M 84-10129