```
1
                    IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                       FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
 2
 3
 4
 5
       ROGER HALL, et al.,
 6
          Plaintiffs,
 7
                                 1:04-CV-814
          VS.
 8
       CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY,
 9
          Defendant.
10
11
12
13
14
                      TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE
15
                  BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROYCE C. LAMBERTH
16
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
                              AUGUST 21, 2017
18
19
       Court Reporter:
20
       Richard D. Ehrlich, RMR, CRR
       Official Court Reporter
21
       United States District Court
       333 Constitution Avenue, NW
22
       Washington, D.C. 20001
       (202) 354-3269
23
24
       Proceedings reported by stenotype.
25
       Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
4	JAMES H. LESAR 930 Wayne Avenue Suite 1111
6	Silver Spring, MD 20910 (301) 328-5920
7	JOHN HARRISON CLARKE 1629 K Street, NW Suite 300
9	Washington, D.C. 20006-1631 (202) 332-3030
10	
11	
12	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
13 14	DAMON WILLIAM TAAFFE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 555 Fourth Street, NW
15	Washington, DC 20530 (202) 252-2544
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	

THE CLERK: Your Honor, we're on the record for Civil Case 04-814. Roger Hall, et al., vs. Central Intelligence Agency.

Counsel, please approach the lecturn and identify

MR. LESAR: Yes. James H. Lesar representing plaintiffs Roger Hall and Studies Solutions Results, Inc.

MR. CLARKE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. John Clarke on behalf of Accuracy In Media.

THE COURT: Okay.

yourselves for the record.

MR. TAAFFE: Your Honor, Damon Taaffe. I'm with the U.S. Attorney's Office representing the defendant, CIA. And with me is Amanda Blue, who is counsel for the CIA.

THE COURT: Okay. Who wants to start?

MR. LESAR: Your Honor, I had a brief telephone conversation this morning with Mr. Damon Taaffe and asked him for a brief summary of where things stand, and he gave it to me. And I think he has questions that he would like to have the Court clarified, and I think that it's appropriate to begin with that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TAAFFE: Your Honor, quickly to run through where we stand on what we understand the remaining items to be. There are few. Starting with the easiest ones first. The Court ordered certain items to be released that

previously had been covered by exemption six. We are consulting with civil appellate on that. I don't anticipate a long delay, but we're going through the process, and hopefully we'll get that put to bed quickly.

The next item is -- there are three denied in full documents that the Court requested dates on. Those are items 2, 3, and 15. I can provide those now. I can also provide them in a supplemental filing that we'll need to make with respect to other things. But we found those and are willing to provide them.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TAAFFE: The third item is the Court asked for additional specificity regarding the Agency's policies that it applied with respect to destruction of records concerning item 5, and there are 114 folders at issue. The Agency tells me that they have found those schedules, and they are conferring, and they will be able to provide them to the Court within a matter of weeks.

One thing that's not entirely clear to me at this moment is to what extent those answers can be provided on a public document as opposed to in camera. But one way or the other, we'll provide them to you.

So that leaves what we understand the final issue to be, and it's the hardest, and here, as Mr. Lesar accurately stated, we may need just a little bit of

additional guidance from the Court as to what it requires, and this is the issue of whether there are additional records out there including communications with Congress.

THE COURT: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TAAFFE: And the Agency is a little bit -just not quite sure what more it needs to do. And without being -- or intending to be argumentative about it, they have conducted the decennial reviews of the ops files, and any information that was declassified pursuant to those reviews has been searched and processed in response to these requests, and the prior declarations explain how that was done. And, clearly, the Court's opinion said that there was some aspect of the explanation that was deficient. And as we understand it, that may have to do with the declarations provided by plaintiff that said that there were certain documents out there perhaps members of Congress had referred to in their declarations, and the Agency is simply looking for specifically which documents are they talking about because they refer to general categories of things that may have been referred to.

THE COURT: Well, there are categories of documents from congressmen and others that it appeared to me on their face that probably have operational details. And if the CIA -- I assume the CIA may have provided things other than from operational files to congressmen, but the

Court has no way of knowing, and the CIA has not provided the Court any information about whether other things were also transmitted to Congress. The record doesn't reflect that things other than operational details were given to Congress or weren't given to Congress, and I can't say that everything given to Congress was from operational files. So I don't have any way to uphold that you only gave operational file materials to them that would be exempt. I think if they were from operational files, they would be exempt.

MR. TAAFFE: Right.

THE COURT: But I don't have any way of knowing that you didn't give them other than material. So it's not really -- you haven't satisfied me that you've met your burden as to those other materials, and it doesn't make sense that you would have only given them things from operational files, frankly. I would think you would've provided them as much material as you could in response to a request so that -- I think you have to explain to the Court in some more detail what it is you actually gave them. And if you only gave them operational files and didn't give them anything else, make that record for the Court.

The other concern I had was 60 years later, I don't really know why there would still be these materials in operational files. I take it you have to provide a

report, and don't you provide the report to Congress every

10 years of what are operational files? So maybe I would be
more satisfied if I saw the latest in camera or whatever way
explanation of what's still operational and what's not
operational.

I don't want to blindly accept that all of these kinds of things are still operational because I don't really have any idea why some of these things would be operational 60 years later, and I think a Court shouldn't blindly accept those kinds of things. I'm not trying to declassify things myself, but I don't really think I can blindly accept those kinds of things. That's what I'm talking about.

MR. TAAFFE: Okay. Maybe if I can have a moment to confer with counsel to see if we're on the same page there and maybe Mr. Lesar has anything to add in the meantime.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TAAFFE: So as we understand it, the Court would like clarification regarding non-ops files materials that were provided to Congress?

THE COURT: Right, if there were any.

MR. TAAFFE: If there were any.

THE COURT: Yes. I don't have a declaration saying none were done.

MR. TAAFFE: Right. Okay. I think that, you

1 know, we respect the Court's wishes on that, and we'll need 2 to circle back and file something with the Court proposing a 3 time frame on which we could answer those questions. 4 THE COURT: Right. And then I still want to 5 figure out how I can address not blindly accepting that what 6 you did give to Congress was necessarily beyond the Court's 7 purview and whether I look at the -- what I can look at to 8 satisfy myself that it's beyond the Court's purview. 9 I'll let them comment on whatever it is you propose. 10 MR. TAAFFE: I think we would be interested in 11 clarifying that because the Agency doesn't want to guess and 12 get it wrong. 13 THE COURT: I understand. 14 MR. LESAR: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Have I stated it in a way you are 16 satisfied with? 17 MR. LESAR: Yes. I think you've stated it exactly 18 as you stated it in the opinion. And it's our concern, too. 19 And I think it's appropriate to give the Government the time 20 needed to do a report, and then we can take another look at 21 it. 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, 23 Mr. Lesar. 24 MR. LESAR: Thank you. 25 MR. CLARKE: Your Honor, briefly. Other than

what's been discussed, I would just ask, is the Government going to be required to file an affidavit regarding the search for the 1,400 live sighting reports? I think that their affidavit was deficient in that area.

MR. TAAFFE: I think this falls into the category of the things Your Honor wanted clarification on. So to the extent we're filing a declaration with respect to other things, it would also deal with those in some manner. As I stand here, I can't quite say what --

THE COURT: When would you propose to make your next filing then?

MR. TAAFFE: Well, at this point, I think we need the agency to figure out how long it will take, which is, I guess, one step removed from a deadline for a next motion for summary judgment. But I would propose perhaps mid-September for us to propose a schedule for when the next and, hopefully, final briefing would be filed.

THE COURT: Okay. What if we come back September  $20^{ ext{th}}$  at 11:00 and see if we can do that, then. Set a schedule at that status?

MR. LESAR: What day of the week is that?

THE COURT: It's a Wednesday.

MR. LESAR: Wednesday at 11:00?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LESAR: Okay. It can be done. I normally

```
1
       have an appointment with a physical therapist at noon on
 2
       Wednesdays.
 3
                 THE COURT: We can do it in the afternoon, if you
 4
       would rather.
 5
                 MR. LESAR: That would probably be better.
                 THE COURT: 3:00?
 6
 7
                 MR. LESAR: 3:00 would be fine.
                 THE COURT: Does that work for the Government?
 8
 9
                 MR. TAAFFE: Your Honor, my agency counsel tells
       me she's gone from the 19<sup>th</sup> to the 22<sup>nd</sup>, and I prefer to
10
11
       have her here. So I wonder if we can do a couple days
12
       earlier or the following week?
13
                 THE COURT: Did you say your therapy is on --
                 MR. LESAR: It will be on Wednesday of that week,
14
       the 20<sup>th</sup>.
15
                 THE COURT: What about the 26<sup>th</sup> at 11:00?
16
17
                 MR. LESAR: I think that would be okay.
18
                 MR. TAAFFE: That's fine.
19
                 THE COURT: Does that work for other counsel?
20
                 MR. CLARKE: Yes, Your Honor.
21
                 THE COURT: All right. See if you can agree on
22
       the schedule in advance of that. In any event, then, I'll
       see you the 26<sup>th</sup> at 11:00. We'll see if we can
23
24
       incorporate a schedule there.
25
                 All right. Anything else you all want to raise
```

```
1
       today?
 2
                 MR. LESAR: I'm sure it was inadvertent, but
 3
       counsel indicated that the CIA was going to provide records
 4
       on the scheduling of destruction orders. I think the
 5
       Court's opinion also required regulations relating to
 6
       destruction of orders, and I would like to see that that's
 7
       included.
 8
                 THE COURT: I agree. Okay. I'll see you all the
       26<sup>th</sup>.
 9
10
                 MR. LESAR: Thank you, Your Honor.
11
                 THE COURT: Thanks very much, Counsel.
12
                 (Hearing concluded.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Richard D. Ehrlich, a Registered Merit Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, certify that the foregoing is a true, complete, and accurate transcript of the proceedings ordered to be transcribed in the above-entitled case before the Honorable Royce C. Lamberth, in Washington, D.C., on August 21, 2017. s/Richard D. Ehrlich September 6, 2017 Richard D. Ehrlich, Official Court Reporter 

Coop 1:04 o	25001 / 1001 2 10-	and of DDO Tibel	0.70F//17 Dogg 12	of01/71.7
	<b>259814∃</b> RCL2⊅0√0	additional [3]		briefly [1]
MR. CLARKE:			[2] 3/20 8/19	_
<b>[3]</b> 3/7 8/24				
10/19		address [1] 8/5	are [13]	burden [1]
MR. LESAR:	3			6/15
[14]	<b>300 [1]</b> 2/8		argumentative	С
MR. TAAFFE:	<b>300</b> [1] 2/8 <b>301</b> [1] 2/6	10/22	[1] 5/7	camera [2]
[14]	<b>3030 [1]</b> 2/9			
THE CLERK:		0, 2 0, 2	4/24 5/1 5/13	can [14]
<b>[1]</b> 2/15	3209 [I] 1/22	afternoon [2]		
THE COURT:	328-5920 [1]	3/8 10/3	6/18 7/18	can't [2] 6/5
[27]	2/6	agency [8]	8/18 9/8	9/9
	332-3030 [1]	1/8 3/3 4/15		case [2] 3/2
0	2/9	5/5 5/17 8/11		12/7
04-814 [1]	<b>333 [1]</b> 1/21	3/13 10/3	3/16 4/12	categories [2]
3/2	354-3269 [1]	Agency's [1]	aspect [1]	5/19 5/21
-	1/22	4/13	5/13	category [1]
1	<b>3:00 [2]</b> 10/6	agree [2]	assume [1]	9/5
<b>1,400 [1]</b> 9/3		10/21 11/8	5/24	CENTRAL [2]
10 [1] 7/2	5		ATTORNEY'S [2]	1/8 3/2
<b>1111 [1]</b> 2/5		1/25	2/13 3/12	certain [2]
<b>114</b> [1] 4/15	<b>555 [1]</b> 2/14		AUGUST [2]	3/25 5/15
11:00 [3]	<b>5920 [1]</b> 2/6	3/2	1/17 12/8	CERTIFICATE
9/23 10/16	6		Avenue [2]	<b>[1]</b> 12/1
	6	8/22 10/21		Certified [1]
10/23	<b>60 [2]</b> 6/23		1/21 2/4	12/4
11:00 and [1]	7/9	10/25 10/25	В	certify [1]
9/19		11/8	back [2] 8/2	12/4
<b>15 [1]</b> 4/7	8		9/18	i i
<b>1629 [1]</b> 2/7	<b>814 [2]</b> 1/7	6/3 9/8 11/5		CIA [6] 3/12
<b>1631 [1]</b> 2/8	3/2	Amanda [1]	be [20]	3/13 5/24
19th [1]		0,10	because [3]	5/24 6/1 11/3
10/10	9	another [1]	5/19 7/7 8/11	
1:04-CV-814	<b>930 [1]</b> 2/4	8/20	bed [1] 4/4	8/2
<b>[1]</b> 1/7	7	answer [1]		civil [2] 3/2
	<u>A</u>	8/3	5/10 5/20 9/1	
2	<b>able [1]</b> 4/17	answers [1]	before [2]	clarification
20001 [1]	<b>about [5]</b> 5/7		1/15 12/7	<b>[2]</b> 7/19 9/6
1/22		anticipate [1]	begin [1]	clarified [1]
20006-1631 [1]		4/2	3/20	3/19
2/8	above [1]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	behalf [1]	clarifying [1]
· ·	12/6	6/2 6/7 6/12	3/9	8/11
12/8 12/10		7/8 7/21 7/22	<b>being [1]</b> 5/7	
	[1] 12/6	10/22	better [1]	2/7 3/9
2/9 2/15	accept [3]	anything [3]	10/5	clear [1]
20530 [1]	7/6 7/9 7/11		beyond [2]	4/19
2/14	accepting [1]		8/6 8/8	clearly [1]
<b>20910 [1]</b> 2/5		10/25	<b>bit</b> [2] 4/25	5/12
		abbeares [-]	5/5	COLUMBIA [1]
<b>20th [2]</b> 9/19		5/22		1/1
10/15	3/9	appoint [1]	blindly [4]	· ·
<b>21 [2]</b> 1/17	accurate [1]	4/2	7/6 7/9 7/11	come [1] 9/18
12/8	12/5	applied [1]	8/5	comment [1]
22nd [1]	accurately [1]	4/14		8/9
10/10	4/25	appointment	brief [2]	communications
252-2544 [1]	actually [1]	[ <b>1</b> ] 10/1	3/15 3/17	<b>[1]</b> 5/3
2/15	6/20	approach [1]	briefing [1]	complete [1]

				1
C Case 1:04-c	v-00814-3RCL Doc	ndimpassint 262 [3 Tiled (	1/1 A (Daine 1/	MEARTRISON [1]
	3/16		6/25 7/2 7/19	
complete		don't [9] 4/2		
<b>[1]</b> 12/5				
computer [1]		6/7 6/12 6/24		
1/25	days [1]		final [2]	7/15
computer-aided	10/11			have [18]
[1] 1/25	DC [1] 2/14	<b>done [3]</b> 5/12		
concern [2]	deadline [1]	7/24 9/25	10/18	6/14
	9/14	E	first [1]	he [3] 3/17
6/23 8/18 concerning [1]	<b>deal</b> [1] 9/8		3/24	3/18 3/18
4/14	decennial [1]	earlier [1]	folders [1]	Hearing [1]
· ·	5/8	10/12	4/15	11/12
concluded [1]	declaration	easiest [1]	following [1]	her [1] 10/11
11/12	<b>[2]</b> 7/23 9/7	3/24	_	here [3] 4/24
conducted [1]		Ehrlich [4]	foregoing [1]	
5/8	[3] 5/11 5/14	1/20 12/3		him [1] 3/17
confer [1]	5/17	12/10 12/11	found [2] 4/9	
7/14	J/ 1/	else [2] 6/22		3/1 3/8 3/11
7/14 CONFERENCE [1]	declassified	10/25	-/ - 0	
1/14			Fourth [1]	
conferring [1]	declassify [1]	A/10		8/14 8/25 9/6
4/17	/ / I U	4/19	<b>frame</b> [1] 8/3	
Congress [9]	defendant [3]	entitled [1]	frankly [1]	11/10
5/3 5/16 6/3	1/9 2/12 3/12	12/6		HONORABLE [2]
6/5 6/5 6/6	deficient [2]	et [2] 1/5	<b>full [1]</b> 4/5	1/15 12/7
7/1 7/20 8/6	5/13 9/4	3/2	<u> </u>	hopefully [2]
	<b>delay [1]</b> 4/3	event [1]	G	4/4 9/17
congressmen	denied [1]	10/22	<b>gave [4]</b> 3/17	how [3] 5/11
[ <b>2</b> ] 5/22 5/25	4/5	<b>every</b> [1] 7/1	6/7 6/20 6/21	8/5 9/13
Constitution	destruction	everything [1]	general [1]	
<b>[1]</b> 1/21	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		5/19	I
consulting [1]	11/6	exactly [1]	get [2] 4/4	<b>I'11 [3]</b> 8/9
4/2	detail [1]	8/17	8/12	10/22 11/8
conversation	6/20	exempt [2]	give [4] 6/13	
<b>[1]</b> 3/16	· ·	6/8 6/10	6/21 8/6 8/19	
could [2]	details [2]	exemption [1]	given [4] 6/4	
6/18 8/3	5/23 6/4	4/1	6/5 6/6 6/16	
counsel [7]	<b>did</b> [2] 8/6	· ·		
3/4 3/13 7/14	10/13	explain [2]	going [3] 4/3	_
10/9 10/19	didn't [2]	5/11 6/19	9/2 11/3	3/4
11/3 11/11	6/13 6/21	explanation	gone [1]	inadvertent
couple [1]	discussed [1]	<b>[2]</b> 5/13 7/4	10/10	[ <b>1</b> ] 11/2
10/11	9/1	extent [2]	<b>Good [1]</b> 3/8	
· ·	DISTRICT [4]	4/20 9/7	Government [3]	
COURT [18]	1/1 1/1 1/16	F	8/19 9/1 10/8	11/7
Court's [5]	1/21		guess [2]	including [1]
5/12 8/1 8/6	<b>do [6]</b> 5/6	<b>face [1]</b> 5/23	0/ 11 0/ 11	5/3
8/8 11/5	5/14 8/20	<b>falls</b> [1] 9/5	guidance [1]	incorporate
covered [1]	9/19 10/3	<b>few [1]</b> 3/24	5/1	[1] 10/24
4/1	10/11	figure [2]	·	indicated [1]
<b>CRR [1]</b> 1/20		8/5 9/13	H	11/3
<b>cv</b> [1] 1/7	document [1]	<b>file [3]</b> 6/8	had [4] 3/15	information
<u> </u>	4/21	8/2 9/2	4/1 5/16 6/23	[21 5/9 6/2
D	documents [4]		HALL [3] 1/5	INTELLIGENCE
<b>D.C</b> [3] 1/22	4/6 5/16 5/18	9/17	3/2 3/7	
2/8 12/8	5/22	files [9] 5/8	hardest [1]	[2] 1/8 3/3
DAMON [3]		5/25 6/6 6/9	4/24	intending [1]
	10/19	3/23 0/0 0/9	4/4	5/7

<b>I</b> Case 1:04-c	<b>√-99814-1</b> 71CL9/Doo	Manentesar Filed (	9105/127 Page 15	of91/71 6
interested [1]	<b>long [2]</b> 4/3	4/24 8/23	opposed [1]	proposing [1]
8/10	9/13	much [2] 6/18	4/21	8/2
is [15]	<b>look</b> [3] 8/7	11/11	<b>ops [2]</b> 5/8	provide [8]
	8/7 8/20	<b>my [1]</b> 10/9	7/19	4/7 4/8 4/10
1ssue [3] 4/15 4/23 5/2	looking [1]	myself [2]	ordered [2]	4/17 4/22
it [23]	5/17	7/11 8/8	3/25 12/6	6/25 7/1 11/3
it's [7] 3/19	M	N		provided [6]
4/24 6/13 8/8				4/20 5/15
8/18 8/19	make [4] 4/9	necessarily	other [11]	5/24 6/1 6/18
9/22	6/15 6/22 9/10	[1] 8/6	4/9 4/22 5/25	
item [3] 4/5		<b>need [4]</b> 4/8 4/25 8/1 9/12		public [1]
4/12 4/15		needed [1]		4/21
items [3]	material [2]	8/20	8/25 9/7	pursuant [1]
3/23 3/25 4/7		<b>needs</b> [1] 5/6	10/19	5/9 purview [2]
J	materials [4]	next [4] 4/5	5/22	8/7 8/8
	6/0 6/15 6/24			put [1] 4/4
<b>JAMES</b> [2] 2/4	7/19	9/16	011+ [41 5/3	
3/6		no [1] 6/1	5/16 8/5 9/13	Q
JOHN [2] 2//		non [1] 7/19		questions [2]
3/8		non-ops [1]	P	3/18 8/3
DODGE [I]	5/14 5/19	_	page [1] 7/14	quickly [2]
1/16	5/24	<b>none [1]</b> 7/24		3/22 4/4
<pre>judgment [1] 9/15</pre>	maybe [3] 7/2	<b>noon [1]</b> 10/1	5/16 9/15	<b>quite [2]</b> 5/6
just [3] 4/25	7/13 7/15	normally [1]	physical [1]	9/9
5/6 9/1	<b>MD [1]</b> 2/5	9/25	10/1	
3/0 9/1	<b>me [7]</b> 3/13	<b>not [7]</b> 4/19	plaintiff [1]	R
K	3/18 4/16	5/6 6/1 6/13	5/15	raise [1]
kinds [3] 7/7	4/19 5/22	7/4 7/10 8/5	plaintiffs [3]	
7/10 7/12	6/14 10/10	<b>now [1]</b> 4/7	1/6 2/3 3/7	rather [1]
know [2] 6/24	meantime [1]		please [1]	10/4
	7/16	2/7 2/14	3/4	really [4]
knowing [2]	Media [1] 3/9	0	point [1]	6/14 6/24 7/7
6/1 6/12	members [1]		9/12	7/11
L	5/16	OFFICE [2]	policies [1]	Realtime [1]
	Merit [1]	2/13 3/12	4/13	12/4
LAMBERTH [2]	12/3	<b>Official [2]</b> 1/20 12/11	prefer [1]	<b>record [4]</b> 3/1 3/5 6/3
1/15 12/7	met [1] 6/14	okay [12]	10/10	6 / 6 6
later [2]	mid [1] 9/16	3/10 3/14	previously [1]	records [3]
6/23 7/9	<pre>mid-September [1] 9/16</pre>	3/21 4/11	4/1 prior [1]	4/14 5/3 11/3
<b>latest [1]</b> 7/3	moment [2]	7/13 7/17	5/11	refer [1]
leaves [1]	4/20 7/13	7/25 8/22	probably [2]	5/19
4/23	more [3] 5/6	9/18 9/25	5/23 10/5	referred [2]
lecturn [1]	6/20 7/3	10/17 11/8	proceedings	5/16 5/20
	morning [1]	one [3] 4/19	[2] 1/24 12/6	
LESAR [5] 2/4	_	4/21 9/14	process [1]	6/3
3/6 4/24 7/15		ones [1] 3/24		regarding [3]
8/23	9/14	only [3] 6/7	processed [1]	4/13 7/19 9/2
	Mr [1] 7/15	6/16 6/21	5/10	Registered [1]
like [3] 3/18		operational	produced [1]	12/3
7/19 11/6	4/24 8/23	[14]	1/25	regulations
	Mr. Damon [1]	opinion [3]	propose [4]	<b>[1]</b> 11/5
4/25 5/5	3/16	5/12 8/18	8/9 9/10 9/15	relating [1]

				16
<b>R</b> Case 1:04-0	<b>\$</b> 00814-RCL Dod			
relating	s/Richard [1]	<b>some [4]</b> 5/13	6/4 6/13 8/25	11/1
[1] 11/5	10/10	6/20 7/8 9/8	Thank [3]	too [1] 8/18
	said [2] 5/12	something [1]	8/22 8/24	transcribed
3/25	F /1 F	l 8/2	11/10	<b>[1]</b> 12/6
remaining [1]	same [1] 7/14	specifically	Thanks [1]	transcript [3]
3/23	satisfied [3]	<b>[1]</b> 5/18	11/11	1/14 1/25
removed [1]	6/14 7/3 8/16	specificity	that [51]	12/5
9/14	satisfy [1]	<b>[1]</b> 4/13	that may [1]	transcription
report [3]	8/8	Spring [1]	5/19	<b>[1]</b> 1/25
7/1 7/1 8/20	saw [1] 7/3	2/5	that's [4]	transmitted
reported [1]	saw [1] 7/3 say [3] 6/5	stand [3]	4/19 7/12	<b>[1]</b> 6/3
1/24	9/9 10/13	3/17 3/23 9/9	10/18 11/6	<b>true [1]</b> 12/5
Reporter [6]	saying [1]	start [1]	their [3]	trying [1]
1/19 1/20	7/24	3/14	5/17 5/23 9/4	7/10
12/1 12/3	schedule [4]	Starting [1]	them [12] 4/8	TT
	9/16 9/20	3/24	4/10 4/1/	
	10/22 10/24	stated [4]	4/22 6/8 6/13	
<b>reports [1]</b> 9/3	schedules [1]	4/25 8/15	6/16 6/18	3/12
•	4/16	8/17 8/18	6/20 6/21	understand [5]
<pre>representing [2] 3/6 3/12</pre>	scheduling [1]	STATES [3]	6/21 8/9	3/23 4/23
request [1]	11/4	1/1 1/16 1/21	then [5] 8/4	
6/19	search [1]	status [2]	8/20 9/11	8/13
·	9/3	1/14 9/20	9/19 10/22	UNITED [3]
requested [1] 4/6	searched [1]	stenotype [1]	therapist [1]	1/1 1/16 1/21
•	5/10	1/24	10/1	uphold [1]
requests [1] 5/11	see [7] 7/14	<b>step [1]</b> 9/14	therapy [1]	6/7
•	9/19 10/21	still [4]	10/13	<b>us [1]</b> 9/16
9/2 11/5	10/23 10/23	6/24 7/4 7/7	there [14]	V
	11/6 11/8	8/4	these [4]	l
requires [1] 5/1	sense [1]	Street [2]	5/10 6/24 7/6	very [1]
respect [4]	6/16	2/7 2/14	7/8	11/11
_	September [3]	Studies [1]	they [8] 4/16	W
9/7	9/16 9/19	3/7	4/16 4/17 5/7	want [4] 7/6
response [2]	12/10	<b>Suite [2]</b> 2/5	5/18 5/19 6/9	0// 0/11
5/10 6/18	September 20th		6/9	10/25
Results [1]	[1] 9/19	summary [2]	thing [1]	wanted [1]
3/7	Set [1] 9/20	3/17 9/15	4/19	9/6
reviews [2]	set [1] 9/20  she's [1]	supplemental	things [14]	wants [1]
5/8 5/10	10/10	<b>[1]</b> 4/8	think [16]	3/14
J/ U J/ IU		<b>sure [2]</b> 5/6	third [1]	
Richard [41	shouldn'+ [1]		CHILL [1]	Was IIII 5/4
	shouldn't [1]	11/2	4/12	<b>was [10]</b> 5/9
1/20 12/3	7/9	11/2		5/11 5/12
1/20 12/3 12/10 12/11	7/9 sighting [1]	11/2 <b>T</b>	4/12 this [5] 3/16 4/19 5/2 9/5	5/11 5/12 5/13 6/6 6/23
1/20 12/3 12/10 12/11 right [8] 5/4	7/9 sighting [1] 9/3	11/2 T TAAFFE [3]	4/12 this [5] 3/16	5/11 5/12 5/13 6/6 6/23 8/6 9/4 11/2
1/20 12/3 12/10 12/11 right [8] 5/4 6/11 7/21	7/9 sighting [1] 9/3 Silver [1]	11/2 T TAAFFE [3] 2/13 3/11	4/12 this [5] 3/16 4/19 5/2 9/5	5/11 5/12 5/13 6/6 6/23 8/6 9/4 11/2 11/3
1/20 12/3 12/10 12/11 right [8] 5/4 6/11 7/21 7/25 8/4 8/22	7/9 sighting [1] 9/3 Silver [1] 2/5	11/2 T TAAFFE [3] 2/13 3/11 3/16	4/12 this [5] 3/16 4/19 5/2 9/5 9/12 those [11] 4/6 4/7 4/9	5/11 5/12 5/13 6/6 6/23 8/6 9/4 11/2 11/3 Washington [4]
1/20 12/3 12/10 12/11 right [8] 5/4 6/11 7/21 7/25 8/4 8/22 10/21 10/25	7/9 sighting [1] 9/3 Silver [1] 2/5 simply [1]	11/2 T TAAFFE [3] 2/13 3/11 3/16 take [3] 6/25	4/12 this [5] 3/16 4/19 5/2 9/5 9/12 those [11] 4/6 4/7 4/9	5/11 5/12 5/13 6/6 6/23 8/6 9/4 11/2 11/3 <b>Washington [4]</b> 1/22 2/8 2/14
1/20 12/3 12/10 12/11 right [8] 5/4 6/11 7/21 7/25 8/4 8/22 10/21 10/25 RMR [1] 1/20	7/9 sighting [1] 9/3 Silver [1] 2/5 simply [1] 5/17	11/2  T  TAAFFE [3] 2/13 3/11 3/16 take [3] 6/25 8/20 9/13	4/12 this [5] 3/16 4/19 5/2 9/5 9/12 those [11] 4/6 4/7 4/9 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/15 7/10	5/11 5/12 5/13 6/6 6/23 8/6 9/4 11/2 11/3 <b>Washington [4]</b> 1/22 2/8 2/14 12/7
1/20 12/3 12/10 12/11 right [8] 5/4 6/11 7/21 7/25 8/4 8/22 10/21 10/25 RMR [1] 1/20 ROGER [3] 1/5	7/9 sighting [1] 9/3 Silver [1] 2/5 simply [1] 5/17 six [1] 4/1	11/2  T  TAAFFE [3] 2/13 3/11 3/16 take [3] 6/25 8/20 9/13 talking [2]	4/12 this [5] 3/16 4/19 5/2 9/5 9/12 those [11] 4/6 4/7 4/9 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/15 7/10 7/11 8/3 9/8	5/11 5/12 5/13 6/6 6/23 8/6 9/4 11/2 11/3 <b>Washington [4]</b> 1/22 2/8 2/14 12/7 <b>way [6]</b> 4/21
1/20 12/3 12/10 12/11 right [8] 5/4 6/11 7/21 7/25 8/4 8/22 10/21 10/25 RMR [1] 1/20 ROGER [3] 1/5 3/2 3/7	7/9 sighting [1] 9/3 Silver [1] 2/5 simply [1] 5/17 six [1] 4/1 so [8] 4/23	11/2  T TAAFFE [3] 2/13 3/11 3/16 take [3] 6/25 8/20 9/13 talking [2] 5/18 7/12	4/12 this [5] 3/16 4/19 5/2 9/5 9/12 those [11] 4/6 4/7 4/9 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/15 7/10 7/11 8/3 9/8 three [1] 4/5	5/11 5/12 5/13 6/6 6/23 8/6 9/4 11/2 11/3 Washington [4] 1/22 2/8 2/14 12/7 way [6] 4/21 6/1 6/7 6/12
1/20 12/3 12/10 12/11 right [8] 5/4 6/11 7/21 7/25 8/4 8/22 10/21 10/25 RMR [1] 1/20 ROGER [3] 1/5 3/2 3/7 ROYCE [2]	7/9 sighting [1] 9/3 Silver [1] 2/5 simply [1] 5/17 six [1] 4/1 so [8] 4/23 6/6 6/13 6/19	11/2  T TAAFFE [3] 2/13 3/11 3/16 take [3] 6/25 8/20 9/13 talking [2] 5/18 7/12 telephone [1]	4/12 this [5] 3/16 4/19 5/2 9/5 9/12 those [11] 4/6 4/7 4/9 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/15 7/10 7/11 8/3 9/8	5/11 5/12 5/13 6/6 6/23 8/6 9/4 11/2 11/3 <b>Washington [4]</b> 1/22 2/8 2/14 12/7 <b>way [6]</b> 4/21 6/1 6/7 6/12 7/3 8/15
1/20 12/3 12/10 12/11 right [8] 5/4 6/11 7/21 7/25 8/4 8/22 10/21 10/25 RMR [1] 1/20 ROGER [3] 1/5 3/2 3/7 ROYCE [2] 1/15 12/7	7/9 sighting [1] 9/3 Silver [1] 2/5 simply [1] 5/17 six [1] 4/1 so [8] 4/23 6/6 6/13 6/19 7/2 7/18 9/6	11/2  T  TAAFFE [3] 2/13 3/11 3/16 take [3] 6/25 8/20 9/13 talking [2] 5/18 7/12 telephone [1] 3/15	4/12 this [5] 3/16 4/19 5/2 9/5 9/12 those [11] 4/6 4/7 4/9 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/15 7/10 7/11 8/3 9/8 three [1] 4/5	5/11 5/12 5/13 6/6 6/23 8/6 9/4 11/2 11/3 Washington [4] 1/22 2/8 2/14 12/7 way [6] 4/21 6/1 6/7 6/12 7/3 8/15 Wayne [1] 2/4
1/20 12/3 12/10 12/11 right [8] 5/4 6/11 7/21 7/25 8/4 8/22 10/21 10/25 RMR [1] 1/20 ROGER [3] 1/5 3/2 3/7 ROYCE [2]	7/9 sighting [1] 9/3 Silver [1] 2/5 simply [1] 5/17 six [1] 4/1 so [8] 4/23 6/6 6/13 6/19 7/2 7/18 9/6 10/11	11/2  T  TAAFFE [3] 2/13 3/11 3/16  take [3] 6/25 8/20 9/13  talking [2] 5/18 7/12 telephone [1] 3/15 tells [2]	4/12 this [5] 3/16 4/19 5/2 9/5 9/12 those [11] 4/6 4/7 4/9 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/15 7/10 7/11 8/3 9/8 three [1] 4/5 through [2] 3/22 4/3 time [2] 8/3	5/11 5/12 5/13 6/6 6/23 8/6 9/4 11/2 11/3 Washington [4] 1/22 2/8 2/14 12/7 way [6] 4/21 6/1 6/7 6/12 7/3 8/15 Wayne [1] 2/4 we [18]
1/20 12/3 12/10 12/11 right [8] 5/4 6/11 7/21 7/25 8/4 8/22 10/21 10/25 RMR [1] 1/20 ROGER [3] 1/5 3/2 3/7 ROYCE [2] 1/15 12/7	7/9 sighting [1] 9/3 Silver [1] 2/5 simply [1] 5/17 six [1] 4/1 so [8] 4/23 6/6 6/13 6/19 7/2 7/18 9/6	11/2  T  TAAFFE [3] 2/13 3/11 3/16 take [3] 6/25 8/20 9/13 talking [2] 5/18 7/12 telephone [1] 3/15	4/12 this [5] 3/16 4/19 5/2 9/5 9/12 those [11] 4/6 4/7 4/9 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/15 7/10 7/11 8/3 9/8 three [1] 4/5 through [2] 3/22 4/3	5/11 5/12 5/13 6/6 6/23 8/6 9/4 11/2 11/3 Washington [4] 1/22 2/8 2/14 12/7 way [6] 4/21 6/1 6/7 6/12 7/3 8/15 Wayne [1] 2/4
12/10 12/11 right [8] 5/4 6/11 7/21 7/25 8/4 8/22 10/21 10/25 RMR [1] 1/20 ROGER [3] 1/5 3/2 3/7 ROYCE [2] 1/15 12/7	7/9 sighting [1] 9/3 Silver [1] 2/5 simply [1] 5/17 six [1] 4/1 so [8] 4/23 6/6 6/13 6/19 7/2 7/18 9/6 10/11	11/2  T  TAAFFE [3] 2/13 3/11 3/16  take [3] 6/25 8/20 9/13  talking [2] 5/18 7/12 telephone [1] 3/15 tells [2]	4/12 this [5] 3/16 4/19 5/2 9/5 9/12 those [11] 4/6 4/7 4/9 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/15 7/10 7/11 8/3 9/8 three [1] 4/5 through [2] 3/22 4/3 time [2] 8/3	5/11 5/12 5/13 6/6 6/23 8/6 9/4 11/2 11/3 Washington [4] 1/22 2/8 2/14 12/7 way [6] 4/21 6/1 6/7 6/12 7/3 8/15 Wayne [1] 2/4 we [18]

T-7	Casa 1:04 a	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	umont 202	Filed	00/05/17	Dago 17	of 17
W	Case 1.04-0	<b>w 90814-77C</b> L1 <b>D/o</b> t	ument 292	Fileu (	19/05/17	Paye 11	01 17
	[4]	would [20]					
4/8	4/22 8/1						
10/2		<b>would've [1]</b> 6/17					
we're	<b>[4]</b> 3/1						
4/3	7/14 9/7	wrong [1]					
Wedne	sday [3]	8/12					
9/22	9/23	Y					
10/1	4	vears [3]					
Wedne	sdays [1]	<b>years [3]</b> 6/23 7/2 7/9					
10/2		Yes [6] 3/6					
week	<b>[3]</b> 9/21	7/23 8/14					
10/1	2 10/14	8/17 9/24					
weeks	[1]	10/20					
4/18		VOI [27]					
Well	<b>[2]</b> 5/21	you propose					
9/12		[1] 8/9					
were	<b>[8]</b> 5/15	you've [2]					
	6/4 6/9	6/14 8/17					
	7/21	your [14]					
	7/24	yourselves [1]					
	't [1]	3/5					
6/5							
what							
what'							
	7/4 9/1						
	ver [2]						
7/3 <b>when</b>							
9/16							
where							
	3/23						
	er [3]						
	6/2 8/7						
which							
	8/3 9/13						
who [							
3/14	_						
why [	<b>2]</b> 6/24						
7/8							
	<b>[3]</b> 4/17						
	10/14						
	AM [1]						
2/13							
	ng [1]						
4/10							
wishe	s [I]						
8/1	n [1]						
withi							
4/18	ut [1]						
<b>witho</b> 5/6	uc [I]						
wonde	r [1]						
10/1							
1 10/1	<b>-</b>						