### [ORAL ARGUMENT NOT YET SCHEDULED]

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

ACCURACY IN MEDIA, et al.,

Appellees,

ROGER L. ARONOFF,

Appellant,

v.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, et al.,

Appellees.

No. 24-5165

Filed: 03/13/2025

# UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE BRIEF OF APPELLEES

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 26(b) and 27, the government respectfully moves for a 30-day extension of time to and including April 21, 2025, to file its brief in the above-captioned appeal.<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff-appellant consents to this request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Thirty days from the current deadline for the brief is Saturday, April 19, 2025, so pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26, the deadline would fall on Monday, April 21, 2025.

Filed: 03/13/2025

- 1. The Court entered a scheduling order setting the deadline for the appellant's opening brief as November 18, 2024. Following two unopposed 30-day extension requests, which this Court granted, appellant filed his opening brief on January 17, 2025.
- 2. The government has previously sought and received a 30-day extension of time to March 20, 2025. An additional extension of time is necessary to allow appellate counsel adequate time to prepare the government's response and to coordinate with agency counsel, given other deadlines. Urja Mittal, the lead government attorney in this case, is responsible for a variety of internal deadlines as well as the briefing in In re Walmart, Inc., No. 25-1096 (8th Cir.) (mandamus petition response due March 24, 2025), and Palacio v. Food & Drug Administration, No. 24-12446 (11th Cir.) (brief due April 2, 2025). Additionally, Sharon Swingle, the reviewing attorney with supervisory responsibility for this case, is supervising briefing and oral argument in the following other cases with upcoming deadlines: U.S. Steel Corp. v. Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States, No. 25-1004 (D.C. Cir.) (brief due March 17, 2025); Muir v. Department of Homeland

Filed: 03/13/2025

Security, No. 22-1318 (D.C. Cir.) (argument March 14, 2025); Wilson v. Payne, No. 24-3064 (10th Cir.) (argument March 18, 2025).

3. Counsel for the government has consulted with counsel for appellant, who consents to this extension request. The requested extension will not appreciably delay the resolution of this case, and counsel will exercise diligence in preparing the brief within the time requested.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the government respectfully requests that the Court grant this unopposed motion for an extension of time, to and including April 21, 2025, to file its response brief.

Respectfully submitted,

SHARON SWINGLE

/s/ Urja Mittal
URJA MITTAL
Attorneys
Civil Division, Appellate Staff
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Rm. 7248
Washington, DC 20530
(202) 353-4895

**MARCH 2025** 

Filed: 03/13/2025

### CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(g)(1), I hereby certify that this motion complies with Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(d)(1)(E) because it was prepared with Century Schoolbook 14-point, a proportionally spaced font with serifs, and the motion complies with Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(d)(2) because it contains 355 words, according to the word count of Microsoft Word.

/s/ Urja Mittal
URJA MITTAL