

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ROBERT MOORE, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cv-1027-RCL

ORDER

As set forth in the accompanying memorandum opinion, defendant's motion for summary judgment [ECF No. 21] is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART** and plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment [ECF No. 25] is **DENIED**.

The Court **GRANTS** defendant's motion for summary judgment as to (1) defendant's withholdings under FOIA Exemptions 1, 3, and 6, with the exception of documents potentially responsive to plaintiffs' requests 1 and 17; and (2) the CIA's segregability analysis. The Court **DENIES** plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment as to those issues. Accordingly, the Court **ENTERS JUDGMENT** for the defendants as to the withholdings of all documents withheld under Exemptions 1, 3, and 6 identified in the *Vaughn* Index. All documents included in the current *Vaughn* Index are properly withheld.

The Court **DENIES** without prejudice plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and defendant's motion for summary judgment with respect to (1) the adequacy of the CIA's records search and (2) the CIA's response to plaintiffs' requests 1 and 17. The Court **ORDERS** defendant to supplement the record regarding the adequacy of its search and its *Glomar* response to plaintiffs'

FOIA request 17. The Court further **ORDERS** defendant to identify responsive documents to plaintiffs' FOIA request 1.

Plaintiffs' motion for *in camera* review [ECF No. 26] is **DENIED**.

The parties are **ORDERED** to meet and confer within fourteen (14) days of this order and to file a proposed schedule fourteen (14) days thereafter. The proposed schedule shall include a timeline for filing new motions for summary judgment on the remaining issues, along with supporting affidavits and a revised *Vaughn* Index.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date:

7/28/22



Royce C. Lamberth
United States District Judge